Detection of false information on social media using user representation in forwarding.

Yanathifal Salsabila Anggraen[1], Ricky Chandra Butarbutar [2]
[1] Department of computer science, Esa Unggul University, Indonesia; yanathifal.sa@gmail.com
[2] Department of computer science, Esa Unggul University, Indonesia; rickychandra145@gmail.com

ABSTRACT In the digital age, social media has transformed information consumption but also fueled the spread of false information, threatening trust and democracy. This article presents an innovative solution called "User representation through forwarding behavior" to combat fake news. Unlike traditional content-focused methods, it focuses on analyzing user behavior like sharing and forwarding. By studying user forwarding patterns, it identifies genuine information from misinformation, utilizing data collection and machine learning models. This approach is crucial in the fight against misinformation, enhancing our understanding and providing a powerful tool for future fake news detection.

KEYWORDS Fake News Detection, User Behavior Analysis, Misinformation, social media

I. ARTICLE FORMATING

Social media has become an indispensable component of our lives, revolutionizing the way we obtain and engage with information. Although technology has undeniably yielded several advantages, Furthermore, it has generated a significant dilemma.

The widespread dissemination of fabricated information. False material, sometimes camouflaged as authentic news, have the capability to mislead and manipulate a substantial number of consumers [1]. This emerging trend presents a significant danger to the credibility of spreading information, public discussions, and perhaps the very foundations of democracy.

The advent of the digital age has brought about a period in which information may be distributed with velocity and scope. Regrettably, this has facilitated the swift dissemination of misinformation. Social media sites, such as Twitter, Facebook, and WhatsApp, have become breeding grounds for the dissemination of deceptive or false narratives. Users frequently disseminate and transmit content without confirming its veracity, thereby serving as channels for disinformation [2].

The identification and counteraction of false information is crucial in the current informational environment. The dissemination of false information can lead to significant outcomes, such as creating conflict and fear [3], as well as impacting electoral outcomes and public sentiment [4]. Hence, it is important to invent efficient strategies for detecting and mitigating the dissemination of false information on social media platforms to uphold the trustworthiness and dependability of the shared content.

This article proposes a new method, called User representation through forwarding behavior, as a remedy to the problem of fake news. While conventional approaches often prioritize the analysis of news items or postings, our approach redirects attention towards the users themselves [5]. Through the analysis of user behavior, particularly their tendencies to forward or share material, our objective is to reveal valuable insights that enable us to differentiate between genuine information sharing and the dissemination of false news.

This article examines the idea of forwarding behavior as a possible indication of the truthfulness of shared material. We explore the process of gathering data, extracting relevant characteristics, developing a machine learning model, and implementing a detection system. In conclusion, we analyze the outcomes, significance, and potential future advancements of this groundbreaking strategy in countering misinformation on social media.

II. An Analysis of the Fake News Challenge

To successfully address the problem of false news on social media, it is crucial to possess a thorough comprehension of the nature and consequences of this widespread phenomenon. This section explores several facets of the fake news dilemma.

Fake news, also known as disinformation or misinformation, is fabricated or deceptive material that is presented as true news.

The impact of it is diverse and goes beyond simple deception:

- Deceiving the Public: False information deceives the public, prompting them to accept untrue accounts, which can result in incorrect choices and behaviors [6].

- Eroding Trust: The propagation of false information undermines the confidence placed in media outlets, organizations, and individuals, eventually diminishing the cohesion of society [7].

- Impact on Elections: The dissemination of misleading information, known as fake news, has the capacity to manipulate election results by molding public sentiment and disseminating inaccurate narratives on politicians and political matters [8].

-Economic Implications: The dissemination of inaccurate information can have repercussions on financial markets, leading to volatility and financial losses for investors [9].

- Public Safety: Fake news can have severe repercussions, like instigating violence, worsening health emergencies, or jeopardizing public safety [9].

The impact of social media in disseminating misinformation

The qualities of social media platforms have made them a fertile field for the quick transmission of false news.

- Amplification: social media facilitates the fast dissemination of information to extensive audiences, frequently surpassing the speed of traditional news channels [10].

- Anonymity: Users can effortlessly generate and spread false information without revealing their identity, so complicating the process of identifying the origin [11].

- Filter Bubbles: Social media algorithms tend to strengthen users' preexisting opinions by presenting them with content that corresponds to their perspectives, which might possibly support the spread of misinformation [12].

- Virality: False information frequently achieves widespread dissemination on social media platforms, rapidly spreading before fact-checkers can effectively counteract it [13].

- Echo Chambers: Users within certain online groups may perpetuate one other's opinions and disseminate false information within their echo chambers [14].

Due to the swift advancement of false information and the difficulties it presents when spread on social media, there is an urgent requirement for inventive techniques to identify it. Conventional fact-checking methods have challenges in keeping pace with the rapid dissemination and overwhelming quantity of false information. Hence, this study suggests an innovative strategy focused on user behavior, with the goal of supplementing current detection tools and bolstering the repertoire against false information on social media platforms.

Through an analysis of the consequences, spread, and fundamental mechanisms of false information, we may devise more efficient methods for identifying and reducing its influence. These tactics will be further investigated in the following sections.

III. Utilizing Forwarding User Representation

To address the issue of fake news on social media, we suggest a distinctive strategy that centers around comprehending and using user forwarding behavior as a vital information resource. This section offers a comprehensive examination of this groundbreaking approach.

A. The concept of forwarding behavior

Forwarding behavior, within the social media, pertains to the action of disseminating or re-sharing material that was initially submitted by other users. This behavior is widespread across several platforms and serves as a key method of spreading knowledge. It includes activities like as retweets on Twitter, shares on Facebook, and forwards on messaging applications like WhatsApp [15].

Comprehending the forwarding behavior involves analyzing the intricacies of when, how, and why people distribute material. It includes elements like as user engagement, the spread of content, and the social impact that motivates people to share information with their following or connections [16].

- **Analyzing user forwarding behaviors might offer valuable insights.**

Patterns of user forwarding provide valuable data that may be utilized to identify and counteract the spread of misinformation:

- Amplification: The occurrence of quick and extensive sharing might signify that a piece of information is becoming viral, indicating its potential importance [17].

- User Influence: The degree to which important users disseminate certain material might indicate its trustworthiness or significance within a network [18].

-Suspicious Patterns: Abnormal forwarding activity, such as a sudden and significant increase in the distribution of content from a newly created account, might suggest the dissemination of false information [19].

- **Community Dynamics**: Analyzing the transmission patterns within certain online groups or echo chambers might provide insights into the dissemination of disinformation inside isolated networks [20].

- **Temporal Analysis**: The time of forwarding can be crucial, since organized operations to disseminate false information typically display distinct temporal patterns [21].

IV. Data collection and data pre-processing

The data collecting and preparation stages are crucial in our efforts to utilize user forwarding behavior to address the issue of fake news on social media. This section delineates the fundamental procedures entailed in this vital phase.

Origins of social media data

The data utilized in this technique is obtained from diverse social media sites, such as Twitter, Facebook, and other similar platforms recognized for their substantial user-generated material. These platforms offer a diverse and thriving environment for researching the behavior of sharing information and identifying false news.

Collecting user's forwarding data

To have a deeper understanding of user forwarding behavior, we gather data on the specific activities that users engage in when they interact with material on social media platforms. This dataset comprises data pertaining to retweets, shares, likes, comments, and any other pertinent forwarding actions that are particular to the platform under consideration. Gaining insight into these behaviors enables us to identify user interaction patterns, which are extremely important for detecting fabricated information [22].

Data preprocessing processes for data cleaning and preparation

Prior to conducting analysis and feature extraction, it is essential to preprocess the acquired data to guarantee its quality and appropriateness for our objectives. The preprocessing processes may encompass:

- **Data Cleaning:** The process of eliminating duplicate entries, unnecessary information, and unwanted disturbances from the dataset to improve the overall quality of the data.

- **Tokenization:** The process of dividing text-based material into separate tokens (words or phrases) to analyze them individually.

- **Normalization:** The process of standardizing data formats, such as date and time, to make it easier to do meaningful analysis.

- **Managing Missing Data:** Addressing the presence of missing values or partial records to preserve the integrity of the dataset.

- **Text Cleaning:** The process of eliminating special characters, stop words, and other irrelevant elements from textual information to make it ready for feature extraction [23].

V. Constructing the Fake News Detection Model

Our method focuses on creating a robust false news detection algorithm that utilizes user forwarding behavior and textual characteristics. The next section describes the essential procedures included in creating and refining this model.

A. Selection of machine learning algorithms

The effectiveness of our false news detection technique hinges on the careful selection of a suitable machine learning algorithm. We investigate several algorithms to ascertain the best appropriate one for our particular purpose. Possible options comprise:

- **Decision Trees:** These models are straightforward and easy to grasp, making them perfect for gaining insights into the value of certain features.

- **Random Forest:** A powerful algorithm that can effectively handle huge datasets and mitigate overfitting.

- **Naive Bayes**: A highly efficient method for classifying text, utilizing probabilistic concepts.

- **Support Vector Machines (SVM)** are very effective for binary classification tasks and are particularly ideal for analyzing high-dimensional data [24].

- **Deep Learning**: Neural networks have sophisticated skills for acquiring features and identifying patterns, particularly for intricate tasks [25].

B. Training the model with annotated data.

To train our fake news detection model, we need a labeled dataset in which each instance is categorized as either authentic or fabricated content. This dataset is used as the basis for instructing the model to distinguish between the two groups. Throughout the training process, the model acquires knowledge about the fundamental patterns and characteristics that differentiate fabricated news from authentic information [26].

C. Optimizing hyperparameters.

Optimal model performance frequently depends on the refinement of hyperparameters, which are configuration choices that impact the operation of the model. Hyperparameter tuning entails fine-tuning parameters like as learning rates, tree depths, and regularization strengths to maximize the model's prediction accuracy and generalization. Methods such as cross-validation aid in identifying the optimal hyperparameter values [27-32].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Our article has shown that user forwarding behavior might be a strong signal for detecting bogus news. Through the examination of user engagement with material and the integration of textual characteristics, we have constructed a strong framework for differentiating between fabricated news and authentic information.

The importance of our methodology is in its deviation from conventional content-based techniques. By prioritizing user behavior, we analyze the behavioral patterns and signals that may suggest the presence of disinformation. This technique has the capacity to improve the precision and effectiveness of false news identification systems.

Amidst the ongoing threat of false news undermining the reliability of information sharing, our method signifies a crucial advancement. By using user forwarding behavior, we not only enhance our comprehension of how misinformation propagates but also provide ourselves with a vital instrument to counteract it. The study we conducted establishes the groundwork for future progress in detecting false news and emphasizes the significance of multidisciplinary methods in combating disinformation.

In a society where information spreads quickly on social media and may have significant impacts, utilizing user forwarding behavior becomes a promising approach to ensure the accuracy of the content we come across online.

References

[1] Berduygina, O. N., Vladimirova, T. N., & Chernyaeva, E. V. (2019). Trends in the spread of fake news in the mass media. Media Watch, 10(1), 122-132.

[2] Aldwairi, M., & Alwahedi, A. (2018). Detecting fake news in social media networks. Procedia Computer Science, 141, 215-222.

[3] Zhang, C., Cao, T., & Ali, A. (2022). Investigating the role of perceived information overload on COVID-19 fear: a moderation role of fake news related to COVID-19. Frontiers in psychology, 13, 930088.

[4] Martel, C., Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2020). Reliance on emotion promotes belief in fake news. Cognitive research: principles and implications, 5, 1-20.

[5] Yan, Z., Li, Y., Huang, L., & Ji, W. (2023). Fake Social Media News Detection Based on Forwarding User Representation. IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems.

[6] Buchanan, T. (2020). Why do people spread false information online? The effects of message and viewer characteristics on self-reported likelihood of sharing social media disinformation. Plos one, 15(10), e0239666.

[7] Scheufele, D. A., & Krause, N. M. (2019). Science audiences, misinformation, and fake news. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116(16), 7662-7669.

[8] Cantarella, M., Fraccaroli, N., & Volpe, R. (2023). Does fake news affect voting behaviour?. Research Policy, 52(1), 104628.

[9] Mwangi, E. (2023). Technology and fake news: shaping social, political, and economic perspectives. Political, and Economic Perspectives (May 29, 2023).

[10] Dabbous, A., Tarhini, A., & Harfouche, A. (2023, September). Circulation of Fake News: Threat Analysis Model to Assess the Impact on Society and Public Safety. In 2023 IEEE International Symposium on Technology and Society (ISTAS) (pp. 1-9). IEEE.

[11] Zhang, X., & Ghorbani, A. A. (2020). An overview of online fake news: Characterization, detection, and discussion. Information Processing & Management, 57(2), 102025.

[12] Zimmer, F., Scheibe, K., Stock, M., & Stock, W. G. (2019). Fake news in social media: Bad algorithms or biased users?. Journal of Information Science Theory and Practice, 7(2), 40-53.

[13] Zannettou, S., Sirivianos, M., Blackburn, J., & Kourtellis, N. (2019). The web of false information: Rumors, fake news, hoaxes, clickbait, and various other shenanigans. Journal of Data and Information Quality (JDIQ), 11(3), 1-37.

[14] Rhodes, S. C. (2022). Filter bubbles, echo chambers, and fake news: how social media conditions individuals to be less critical of political misinformation. Political Communication, 39(1), 1-22.

[15] Abraham, B., & Mandalaparthy, M. (2021). Fake News during Covid-19 Outbreak: Differentiating Audience's Age regarding Prior Exposure, Emotion, Susceptibility, Practice, and Forwarding Behaviour. Media Watch, 12(2), 251-264.

[16] Kumar, A., Shankar, A., Behl, A., Arya, V., & Gupta, N. (2023). Should I share it? Factors influencing fake news-sharing behaviour: A behavioural reasoning theory perspective. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 193, 122647.

[17] Molina, M. D., Sundar, S. S., Le, T., & Lee, D. (2021). "Fake news" is not simply false information: A concept explication and taxonomy of online content. American behavioral scientist, 65(2), 180-212.

[18] Joy, A., Shrestha, A., & Spezzano, F. (2021, November). Are you influenced? modeling the diffusion of fake news in social media. In Proceedings of the 2021 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (pp. 184-188).

[19] Tandoc Jr, E. C. (2019). The facts of fake news: A research review. Sociology Compass, 13(9), e12724.

[20] Giachanou, A., Ríssola, E. A., Ghanem, B., Crestani, F., & Rosso, P. (2020). The role of personality and linguistic patterns in discriminating between fake news spreaders and fact checkers. In Natural Language Processing and Information Systems: 25th International Conference on Applications of Natural Language to Information Systems, NLDB 2020, Saarbrücken, Germany, June 24–

26, 2020, Proceedings 25 (pp. 181-192). Springer International Publishing.

[21] Chalkiadakis, M., Kornilakis, A., Papadopoulos, P., Markatos, E., & Kourtellis, N. (2021, June). The rise and fall of fake news sites: A traffic analysis. In Proceedings of the 13th ACM Web Science Conference 2021 (pp. 168-177).

[22] Wu, L., & Liu, H. (2018, February). Tracing fake-news footprints: Characterizing social media messages by how they propagate. In Proceedings of the eleventh ACM international conference on Web Search and Data Mining (pp. 637-645).

[23] Awan, M. J., Yasin, A., Nobanee, H., Ali, A. A., Shahzad, Z., Nabeel, M., ... & Shahzad, H. M. F. (2021). Fake news data exploration and analytics. Electronics, 10(19), 2326.

[24] Hoti, A. H., Hoti, M. H., Hoti, H., & Salihu, A. (2022, June). Identifying Fake News written on Albanian language in social media using Naïve Bayes, SVM, Logistic Regression, Decision Tree and Random Forest algorithms. In 2022 11th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing (MECO) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[25] Mridha, M. F., Keya, A. J., Hamid, M. A., Monowar, M. M., & Rahman, M. S. (2021). A comprehensive review on fake news detection with deep learning. IEEE Access, 9, 156151-156170.

[26] Roy, A., Basak, K., Ekbal, A., & Bhattacharyya, P. (2018). A deep ensemble framework for fake news detection and classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.04670.

[27] Rajalaxmi, R. R., Narasimha Prasad, L. V., Janakiramaiah, B., Pavankumar, C. S., Neelima, N., & Sathishkumar, V. E. (2022). Optimizing hyperparameters and performance analysis of LSTM model in detecting fake news on social media. Transactions on Asian and Low-Resource Language Information Processing.

[28] Deveci, M., Pamucar, D., Gokasar, I., Köppen, M., Gupta, B. B., & Daim, T. (2023). Evaluation of Metaverse traffic safety implementations using fuzzy Einstein based logarithmic methodology of additive weights and TOPSIS method. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 194, 122681.

[29] Chaklader, B., Gupta, B. B., & Panigrahi, P. K. (2023). Analyzing the progress of FINTECH-companies and their integration with new technologies for innovation and entrepreneurship. Journal of Business Research, 161, 113847.

[30] Casillo, M., Colace, F., Gupta, B. B., Lorusso, A., Marongiu, F., & Santaniello, D. (2022, June). A deep learning approach to protecting cultural heritage buildings through IoT-based systems. In 2022 IEEE International Conference on Smart Computing (SMARTCOMP) (pp. 252-256). IEEE.

[31] Jiao, R., Li, C., Xun, G., Zhang, T., Gupta, B. B., & Yan, G. (2023). A Context-aware Multi-event Identification Method for Non-intrusive Load Monitoring. IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics.

[32] Wang, L., Han, C., Zheng, Y., Peng, X., Yang, M., & Gupta, B. (2023). Search for exploratory and exploitative service innovation in manufacturing firms: The role of ties with service intermediaries. Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, 8(1), 100288.